

# Automorphism groups and Ramsey properties of sparse graphs.

David Evans  
Dept. of Mathematics, Imperial College London.

## Joint work with Jan Hubička and Jaroslav Nešetřil

### THEMES:

- Automorphism groups of nice model-theoretic structures acting on compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Connection with structural Ramsey theory (Kechris - Pestov - Todorčević Correspondence)
- Sparse graphs constructed using Hrushovski amalgamations exhibit interesting new phenomena.

THEOREM A: There is a countable  $\omega$ -categorical structure  $M$  with the property that if  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$  is (extremely) amenable, then  $H$  has infinitely many orbits on  $M^2$ .

NOTE: By the Ryll-Nardzewski Theorem,  $\text{Aut}(M)$  has finitely many orbits on  $M^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Joint work with Jan Hubička and Jaroslav Nešetřil

THEMES:

- Automorphism groups of nice model-theoretic structures acting on compact Hausdorff spaces.
- Connection with structural Ramsey theory (Kechris - Pestov - Todorčević Correspondence)
- Sparse graphs constructed using Hrushovski amalgamations exhibit interesting new phenomena.

**THEOREM A:** There is a countable  $\omega$ -categorical structure  $M$  with the property that if  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$  is (extremely) amenable, then  $H$  has infinitely many orbits on  $M^2$ .

**NOTE:** By the Ryll-Nardzewski Theorem,  $\text{Aut}(M)$  has finitely many orbits on  $M^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Amalgamation classes and Fraïssé limits.

$L$  a 1st-order relational language and  $M$  a countable  $L$ -structure.

$\text{Age}(M)$ : class of isomorphism types of finite substructures.

$M$  is *homogeneous* if all isomorphism between finite substructures of  $M$  extend to automorphisms of  $M$ . In this case  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Age}(M)$  satisfies:

**AMALGAMATION PROPERTY (AP):** If  $f_1 : A \rightarrow B_1$  and  $f_2 : A \rightarrow B_2$  are embeddings between elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then there is  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and embeddings  $g_i : B_i \rightarrow C$  with  $g_1 \circ f_1 = g_2 \circ f_2$ .

*Conversely:* if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a countable class of isomorphism types of finite  $L$ -structures which is closed under taking substructures, has the joint embedding property and

$\mathcal{C}$  has AP,

then there is a countable, homogeneous structure  $M(\mathcal{C})$  with  $\text{Age}(M(\mathcal{C})) = \mathcal{C}$ . It is unique up to isomorphism.

$\mathcal{C}$  is an *amalgamation class* and  $M(\mathcal{C})$  is its *Fraïssé limit*.

## Amalgamation classes and Fraïssé limits.

$L$  a 1st-order relational language and  $M$  a countable  $L$ -structure.

$\text{Age}(M)$ : class of isomorphism types of finite substructures.

$M$  is *homogeneous* if all isomorphism between finite substructures of  $M$  extend to automorphisms of  $M$ . In this case  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Age}(M)$  satisfies:

AMALGAMATION PROPERTY (AP): If  $f_1 : A \rightarrow B_1$  and  $f_2 : A \rightarrow B_2$  are embeddings between elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then there is  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and embeddings  $g_j : B_j \rightarrow C$  with  $g_1 \circ f_1 = g_2 \circ f_2$ .

*Conversely*: if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a countable class of isomorphism types of finite  $L$ -structures which is closed under taking substructures, has the joint embedding property and

$\mathcal{C}$  has AP,

then there is a countable, homogeneous structure  $M(\mathcal{C})$  with  $\text{Age}(M(\mathcal{C})) = \mathcal{C}$ . It is unique up to isomorphism.

$\mathcal{C}$  is an *amalgamation class* and  $M(\mathcal{C})$  is its *Fraïssé limit*.

## Amalgamation classes and Fraïssé limits.

$L$  a 1st-order relational language and  $M$  a countable  $L$ -structure.

$\text{Age}(M)$ : class of isomorphism types of finite substructures.

$M$  is *homogeneous* if all isomorphism between finite substructures of  $M$  extend to automorphisms of  $M$ . In this case  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Age}(M)$  satisfies:

AMALGAMATION PROPERTY (AP): If  $f_1 : A \rightarrow B_1$  and  $f_2 : A \rightarrow B_2$  are embeddings between elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then there is  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and embeddings  $g_i : B_i \rightarrow C$  with  $g_1 \circ f_1 = g_2 \circ f_2$ .

*Conversely*: if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a countable class of isomorphism types of finite  $L$ -structures which is closed under taking substructures, has the joint embedding property and

$\mathcal{C}$  has AP,

then there is a countable, homogeneous structure  $M(\mathcal{C})$  with  $\text{Age}(M(\mathcal{C})) = \mathcal{C}$ . It is unique up to isomorphism.

$\mathcal{C}$  is an *amalgamation class* and  $M(\mathcal{C})$  is its *Fraïssé limit*.

## EXAMPLE:

$\mathcal{G}$  the class of all finite graphs;  $M(\mathcal{G})$  is the Random Graph.

VARIATION: Can also work with a distinguished notion of embedding / substructure,  $(\mathcal{C}; \leq)$ .

– This is used in the Hrushovski construction.

## EXAMPLE:

$\mathcal{G}$  the class of all finite graphs;  $M(\mathcal{G})$  is the Random Graph.

VARIATION: Can also work with a distinguished notion of embedding / substructure,  $(\mathcal{C}; \leq)$ .

– This is used in the Hrushovski construction.



# Ramsey classes

$L^{\leq}$ : relational language with  $\leq$ .

$\mathcal{A}$ : a class of finite  $L^{\leq}$ -structures closed under substrs and satisfying JEP and where  $\leq$  is a linear ordering.

DEFINITION: Say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a **Ramsey class** if whenever  $A \subseteq B \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is  $B \subseteq C \in \mathcal{A}$  such that if

$$\gamma: \binom{C}{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

is a 2-colouring of the copies of  $A$  in  $C$ , there is  $B' \in \binom{C}{B}$  (a copy of  $B$  in  $C$ ) such that  $\gamma$  is constant on  $\binom{B'}{A}$ .

EXAMPLES: (1)  $L = \{\leq\}$ . Take  $\mathcal{A} =$  finite linear orders.

(2) (Nešetřil - Rödl) The class  $\mathcal{G}^{\leq}$  of linearly ordered finite graphs.

THEOREM: (Nešetřil) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Ramsey class, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has the amalgamation property.

– What's special about  $M(\mathcal{A})$ ?

# Ramsey classes

$L^{\leq}$ : relational language with  $\leq$ .

$\mathcal{A}$ : a class of finite  $L^{\leq}$ -structures closed under substrs and satisfying JEP and where  $\leq$  is a linear ordering.

DEFINITION: Say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a **Ramsey class** if whenever  $A \subseteq B \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is  $B \subseteq C \in \mathcal{A}$  such that if

$$\gamma : \binom{C}{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

is a 2-colouring of the copies of  $A$  in  $C$ , there is  $B' \in \binom{C}{B}$  (a copy of  $B$  in  $C$ ) such that  $\gamma$  is constant on  $\binom{B'}{A}$ .

EXAMPLES: (1)  $L = \{\leq\}$ . Take  $\mathcal{A} =$  finite linear orders.

(2) (Nešetřil - Rödl) The class  $\mathcal{G}^{\leq}$  of linearly ordered finite graphs.

THEOREM: (Nešetřil) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Ramsey class, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has the amalgamation property.

– What's special about  $M(\mathcal{A})$ ?

# Ramsey classes

$L^{\leq}$ : relational language with  $\leq$ .

$\mathcal{A}$ : a class of finite  $L^{\leq}$ -structures closed under substrs and satisfying JEP and where  $\leq$  is a linear ordering.

DEFINITION: Say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a **Ramsey class** if whenever  $A \subseteq B \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is  $B \subseteq C \in \mathcal{A}$  such that if

$$\gamma: \binom{C}{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

is a 2-colouring of the copies of  $A$  in  $C$ , there is  $B' \in \binom{C}{B}$  (a copy of  $B$  in  $C$ ) such that  $\gamma$  is constant on  $\binom{B'}{A}$ .

EXAMPLES: (1)  $L = \{\leq\}$ . Take  $\mathcal{A} =$  finite linear orders.

(2) (Nešetřil - Rödl) The class  $\mathcal{G}^{\leq}$  of linearly ordered finite graphs.

THEOREM: (Nešetřil) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Ramsey class, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has the amalgamation property.

– What's special about  $M(\mathcal{A})$ ?

# Ramsey classes

$L^{\leq}$ : relational language with  $\leq$ .

$\mathcal{A}$ : a class of finite  $L^{\leq}$ -structures closed under substrs and satisfying JEP and where  $\leq$  is a linear ordering.

DEFINITION: Say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a **Ramsey class** if whenever  $A \subseteq B \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is  $B \subseteq C \in \mathcal{A}$  such that if

$$\gamma: \binom{C}{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

is a 2-colouring of the copies of  $A$  in  $C$ , there is  $B' \in \binom{C}{B}$  (a copy of  $B$  in  $C$ ) such that  $\gamma$  is constant on  $\binom{B'}{A}$ .

EXAMPLES: (1)  $L = \{\leq\}$ . Take  $\mathcal{A} =$  finite linear orders.

(2) (Nešetřil - Rödl) The class  $\mathcal{G}^{\leq}$  of linearly ordered finite graphs.

THEOREM: (Nešetřil) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Ramsey class, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has the amalgamation property.

– What's special about  $M(\mathcal{A})$ ?

# Ramsey classes

$L^{\leq}$ : relational language with  $\leq$ .

$\mathcal{A}$ : a class of finite  $L^{\leq}$ -structures closed under substrs and satisfying JEP and where  $\leq$  is a linear ordering.

DEFINITION: Say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a **Ramsey class** if whenever  $A \subseteq B \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is  $B \subseteq C \in \mathcal{A}$  such that if

$$\gamma: \binom{C}{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

is a 2-colouring of the copies of  $A$  in  $C$ , there is  $B' \in \binom{C}{B}$  (a copy of  $B$  in  $C$ ) such that  $\gamma$  is constant on  $\binom{B'}{A}$ .

EXAMPLES: (1)  $L = \{\leq\}$ . Take  $\mathcal{A} =$  finite linear orders.

(2) (Nešetřil - Rödl) The class  $\mathcal{G}^{\leq}$  of linearly ordered finite graphs.

THEOREM: (Nešetřil) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Ramsey class, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has the amalgamation property.

– What's special about  $M(\mathcal{A})$ ?

# Automorphism groups.

$\Omega$  infinite set (usually countable);  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  symmetric group.

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega^\Omega$  pointwise convergence topology.

Basic open sets:  $\{g \in G : g|A = \gamma\}$ ,  $A \subseteq \Omega$  finite and  $\gamma : A \rightarrow \Omega$ .

$G$  is a topological group.

$\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  complete metrizable if  $\Omega$  is countable.

## Lemma

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is closed iff  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$  for some 1st order structure  $M$  with domain  $\Omega$ .

INTERESTING EXAMPLES:  $M$  countable homogeneous, or  $\omega$ -categorical.

REMARK: If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is closed there is a *homogeneous* structure  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(M) = G$  (but the language may have to be infinite).

# Automorphism groups.

$\Omega$  infinite set (usually countable);  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  symmetric group.

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega^\Omega$  pointwise convergence topology.

Basic open sets:  $\{g \in G : g|A = \gamma\}$ ,  $A \subseteq \Omega$  finite and  $\gamma : A \rightarrow \Omega$ .

$G$  is a topological group.

$\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  complete metrizable if  $\Omega$  is countable.

## Lemma

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is closed iff  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$  for some 1st order structure  $M$  with domain  $\Omega$ .

INTERESTING EXAMPLES:  $M$  countable homogeneous, or  $\omega$ -categorical.

REMARK: If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is closed there is a *homogeneous* structure  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(M) = G$  (but the language may have to be infinite).

# Automorphism groups.

$\Omega$  infinite set (usually countable);  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  symmetric group.

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega^\Omega$  pointwise convergence topology.

Basic open sets:  $\{g \in G : g|A = \gamma\}$ ,  $A \subseteq \Omega$  finite and  $\gamma : A \rightarrow \Omega$ .

$G$  is a topological group.

$\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  complete metrizable if  $\Omega$  is countable.

## Lemma

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is closed iff  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$  for some 1st order structure  $M$  with domain  $\Omega$ .

INTERESTING EXAMPLES:  $M$  countable homogeneous, or  $\omega$ -categorical.

REMARK: If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is closed there is a *homogeneous* structure  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(M) = G$  (but the language may have to be infinite).



# Automorphism groups.

$\Omega$  infinite set (usually countable);  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  symmetric group.

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega^\Omega$  pointwise convergence topology.

Basic open sets:  $\{g \in G : g|A = \gamma\}$ ,  $A \subseteq \Omega$  finite and  $\gamma : A \rightarrow \Omega$ .

$G$  is a topological group.

$\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  complete metrizable if  $\Omega$  is countable.

## Lemma

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is closed iff  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$  for some 1st order structure  $M$  with domain  $\Omega$ .

INTERESTING EXAMPLES:  $M$  countable homogeneous, or  $\omega$ -categorical.

REMARK: If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is closed there is a *homogeneous* structure  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(M) = G$  (but the language may have to be infinite).

# Automorphism groups.

$\Omega$  infinite set (usually countable);  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  symmetric group.

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega^\Omega$  pointwise convergence topology.

Basic open sets:  $\{g \in G : g|A = \gamma\}$ ,  $A \subseteq \Omega$  finite and  $\gamma : A \rightarrow \Omega$ .

$G$  is a topological group.

$\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  complete metrizable if  $\Omega$  is countable.

## Lemma

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is closed iff  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$  for some 1st order structure  $M$  with domain  $\Omega$ .

INTERESTING EXAMPLES:  $M$  countable homogeneous, or  $\omega$ -categorical.

REMARK: If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is closed there is a *homogeneous* structure  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(M) = G$  (but the language may have to be infinite).

# Topological Dynamics

$G$  a topological group.

$G$ -flow: compact, Hausdorff, non-empty space  $X$  with a continuous  $G$ -action.

## Definition

- 1  $G$  is *amenable* if every  $G$ -flow  $X$  supports a  $G$ -invariant Borel probability measure.
- 2  $G$  is *extremely amenable* if every  $G$ -flow has a fixed point.

# Topological Dynamics

$G$  a topological group.

$G$ -flow: compact, Hausdorff, non-empty space  $X$  with a continuous  $G$ -action.

## Definition

- 1  $G$  is *amenable* if every  $G$ -flow  $X$  supports a  $G$ -invariant Borel probability measure.
- 2  $G$  is *extremely amenable* if every  $G$ -flow has a fixed point.

# Topological Dynamics

$G$  a topological group.

$G$ -flow: compact, Hausdorff, non-empty space  $X$  with a continuous  $G$ -action.

## Definition

- 1  $G$  is *amenable* if every  $G$ -flow  $X$  supports a  $G$ -invariant Borel probability measure.
- 2  $G$  is *extremely amenable* if every  $G$ -flow has a fixed point.

# Topological Dynamics

$G$  a topological group.

$G$ -flow: compact, Hausdorff, non-empty space  $X$  with a continuous  $G$ -action.

## Definition

- 1  $G$  is *amenable* if every  $G$ -flow  $X$  supports a  $G$ -invariant Borel probability measure.
- 2  $G$  is *extremely amenable* if every  $G$ -flow has a fixed point.

# G-flows

$G = \text{Aut}(M)$ . Some  $G$ -flows:

- 1 Take  $G$ -invariant  $\Delta \subseteq M^n$ ; consider  $Y = \{0, 1\}^\Delta$  as a  $G$ -flow. Also consider  $G$ -invariant, closed subspaces  $X$  of  $Y$ .
- 2  $G$ -invariant, closed subspaces of  $S(M)$ , Stone space over  $M$ .

EXAMPLE:  $G = \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . We have a  $G$ -flow:

$$LO(\Omega) = \{R \subseteq \Omega^2 : R \text{ is a linear order on } \Omega\}.$$

COROLLARY: If  $H \leq G$  is e.a. then there is an  $H$ -invariant linear order on  $\Omega$ .

Theorem (Pestov, 1998)

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}; \leq)$  is e.a.

# G-flows

$G = \text{Aut}(M)$ . Some  $G$ -flows:

- 1 Take  $G$ -invariant  $\Delta \subseteq M^n$ ; consider  $Y = \{0, 1\}^\Delta$  as a  $G$ -flow. Also consider  $G$ -invariant, closed subspaces  $X$  of  $Y$ .
- 2  $G$ -invariant, closed subspaces of  $S(M)$ , Stone space over  $M$ .

EXAMPLE:  $G = \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . We have a  $G$ -flow:

$$LO(\Omega) = \{R \subseteq \Omega^2 : R \text{ is a linear order on } \Omega\}.$$

COROLLARY: If  $H \leq G$  is e.a. then there is an  $H$ -invariant linear order on  $\Omega$ .

Theorem (Pestov, 1998)

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}; \leq)$  is e.a.



# G-flows

$G = \text{Aut}(M)$ . Some  $G$ -flows:

- 1 Take  $G$ -invariant  $\Delta \subseteq M^n$ ; consider  $Y = \{0, 1\}^\Delta$  as a  $G$ -flow. Also consider  $G$ -invariant, closed subspaces  $X$  of  $Y$ .
- 2  $G$ -invariant, closed subspaces of  $S(M)$ , Stone space over  $M$ .

EXAMPLE:  $G = \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . We have a  $G$ -flow:

$$LO(\Omega) = \{R \subseteq \Omega^2 : R \text{ is a linear order on } \Omega\}.$$

COROLLARY: If  $H \leq G$  is e.a. then there is an  $H$ -invariant linear order on  $\Omega$ .

Theorem (Pestov, 1998)

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}; \leq)$  is e.a.

# G-flows

$G = \text{Aut}(M)$ . Some  $G$ -flows:

- 1 Take  $G$ -invariant  $\Delta \subseteq M^n$ ; consider  $Y = \{0, 1\}^\Delta$  as a  $G$ -flow. Also consider  $G$ -invariant, closed subspaces  $X$  of  $Y$ .
- 2  $G$ -invariant, closed subspaces of  $S(M)$ , Stone space over  $M$ .

EXAMPLE:  $G = \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . We have a  $G$ -flow:

$$LO(\Omega) = \{R \subseteq \Omega^2 : R \text{ is a linear order on } \Omega\}.$$

COROLLARY: If  $H \leq G$  is e.a. then there is an  $H$ -invariant linear order on  $\Omega$ .

Theorem (Pestov, 1998)

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}; \leq)$  is e.a.

# G-flows

$G = \text{Aut}(M)$ . Some  $G$ -flows:

- 1 Take  $G$ -invariant  $\Delta \subseteq M^n$ ; consider  $Y = \{0, 1\}^\Delta$  as a  $G$ -flow. Also consider  $G$ -invariant, closed subspaces  $X$  of  $Y$ .
- 2  $G$ -invariant, closed subspaces of  $S(M)$ , Stone space over  $M$ .

EXAMPLE:  $G = \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . We have a  $G$ -flow:

$$LO(\Omega) = \{R \subseteq \Omega^2 : R \text{ is a linear order on } \Omega\}.$$

COROLLARY: If  $H \leq G$  is e.a. then there is an  $H$ -invariant linear order on  $\Omega$ .

Theorem (Pestov, 1998)

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}; \leq)$  is e.a.

# The Kechris - Pestov - Todorčević Correspondence

## Theorem (KPT, 2005)

Suppose  $M$  is a countable, homogeneous, linearly ordered relational structures with age  $\mathcal{A}$ . TFAE:

- 1  $\text{Aut}(M)$  is extremely amenable.
- 2  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Ramsey class.

So Ramsey classes correspond to homogeneous structures with e.a. automorphism groups.

EXAMPLE:  $\mathcal{G}^{\leq}$  (finite l.o. graphs) is a Ramsey class. Let  $\Gamma^{\leq} = M(\mathcal{G}^{\leq})$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma^{\leq})$  is e.a. The graph reduct  $\Gamma$  is the Random Graph and  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma^{\leq}) \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{G}^{\leq}$  is a precompact expansion of  $\mathcal{G}$ : every  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  expands to finitely many iso types of structures in  $\mathcal{G}^{\leq}$ .

Equivalently each  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ -orbit on  $\Gamma^n$  splits into finitely many  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma^{\leq})$ -orbits.

# The universal minimal flow

A  $G$ -flow  $X$  is *minimal* if every  $G$ -orbit on  $X$  is dense.

FACT: (Ellis) There is a unique *universal* minimal  $G$ -flow,  $M(G)$ .

DEF: Let  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$ . Say  $H \leq G$  is *precompact* if for every  $G$ -orbit  $\Delta \subseteq M^n$ ,  $H$  has finitely many orbits on  $\Delta$ .

KPT; Nguyen Van Thé

Suppose  $M$  is a countable  $L$ -structure. If  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$  has a precompact e.a. closed subgroup  $H = \text{Aut}(N)$ , then  $M(G)$  can be described. In particular,  $M(G)$  is metrizable and has a comeagre orbit. The same is therefore true of every minimal  $G$ -flow.

EXAMPLES: (1)  $M(\text{Sym}(\Omega)) = LO(\Omega)$ .

(2) If  $\Gamma$  is the random graph, then  $M(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)) = LO(\Gamma)$ .

COROLLARY:  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  are amenable.

# The universal minimal flow

A  $G$ -flow  $X$  is *minimal* if every  $G$ -orbit on  $X$  is dense.

FACT: (Ellis) There is a unique *universal* minimal  $G$ -flow,  $M(G)$ .

DEF: Let  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$ . Say  $H \leq G$  is *precompact* if for every  $G$ -orbit  $\Delta \subseteq M^n$ ,  $H$  has finitely many orbits on  $\Delta$ .

## KPT; Nguyen Van Thé

Suppose  $M$  is a countable  $L$ -structure. If  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$  has a precompact e.a. closed subgroup  $H = \text{Aut}(N)$ , then  $M(G)$  can be described. In particular,  $M(G)$  is metrizable and has a comeagre orbit. The same is therefore true of every minimal  $G$ -flow.

EXAMPLES: (1)  $M(\text{Sym}(\Omega)) = LO(\Omega)$ .

(2) If  $\Gamma$  is the random graph, then  $M(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)) = LO(\Gamma)$ .

COROLLARY:  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  are amenable.

# The universal minimal flow

A  $G$ -flow  $X$  is *minimal* if every  $G$ -orbit on  $X$  is dense.

FACT: (Ellis) There is a unique *universal* minimal  $G$ -flow,  $M(G)$ .

DEF: Let  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$ . Say  $H \leq G$  is *precompact* if for every  $G$ -orbit  $\Delta \subseteq M^n$ ,  $H$  has finitely many orbits on  $\Delta$ .

## KPT; Nguyen Van Thé

Suppose  $M$  is a countable  $L$ -structure. If  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$  has a precompact e.a. closed subgroup  $H = \text{Aut}(N)$ , then  $M(G)$  can be described. In particular,  $M(G)$  is metrizable and has a comeagre orbit. The same is therefore true of every minimal  $G$ -flow.

EXAMPLES: (1)  $M(\text{Sym}(\Omega)) = LO(\Omega)$ .

(2) If  $\Gamma$  is the random graph, then  $M(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)) = LO(\Gamma)$ .

COROLLARY:  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  are amenable.

# The universal minimal flow

A  $G$ -flow  $X$  is *minimal* if every  $G$ -orbit on  $X$  is dense.

FACT: (Ellis) There is a unique *universal* minimal  $G$ -flow,  $M(G)$ .

DEF: Let  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$ . Say  $H \leq G$  is *precompact* if for every  $G$ -orbit  $\Delta \subseteq M^n$ ,  $H$  has finitely many orbits on  $\Delta$ .

## KPT; Nguyen Van Thé

Suppose  $M$  is a countable  $L$ -structure. If  $G = \text{Aut}(M)$  has a precompact e.a. closed subgroup  $H = \text{Aut}(N)$ , then  $M(G)$  can be described. In particular,  $M(G)$  is metrizable and has a comeagre orbit. The same is therefore true of every minimal  $G$ -flow.

EXAMPLES: (1)  $M(\text{Sym}(\Omega)) = LO(\Omega)$ .

(2) If  $\Gamma$  is the random graph, then  $M(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)) = LO(\Gamma)$ .

COROLLARY:  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  are amenable.



# Question

- Question asked (around 2011) by: Bodirsky, Pinsker, Tsankov; Nešetřil; Nguyen van Thé:
  - ▶ If  $M$  is countable  $\omega$ -categorical, is there an  $\omega$ -categorical expansion  $N$  of  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(N)$  extremely amenable? Equivalently, is there a precompact e.a. closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M)$ .
- Particularly interesting case:  $M$  homogeneous in a finite relational language.
- Why ask the question?
  - ▶ Ubiquity of  $\omega$ -categorical structures with e.a. automorphism groups
  - ▶ Ubiquity of Ramsey classes
  - ▶ Applications: reducts; complexity of CSP's (Bodirsky, Pinsker et al.)
  - ▶ Describing  $M(G)$  for  $G$  closed, oligomorphic permutation group.
  - ▶ Evidence. Work on Ramsey expansions of Fraïssé classes: Nešetřil - Rödl; Jasinski, Laflamme, Nguyen van Thé, Woodrow; ...

# Question

- Question asked (around 2011) by: Bodirsky, Pinsker, Tsankov; Nešetřil; Nguyen van Thé:
  - ▶ If  $M$  is countable  $\omega$ -categorical, is there an  $\omega$ -categorical expansion  $N$  of  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(N)$  extremely amenable? Equivalently, is there a precompact e.a. closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M)$ .
- Particularly interesting case:  $M$  homogeneous in a finite relational language.
- Why ask the question?
  - ▶ Ubiquity of  $\omega$ -categorical structures with e.a. automorphism groups
  - ▶ Ubiquity of Ramsey classes
  - ▶ Applications: reducts; complexity of CSP's (Bodirsky, Pinsker et al.)
  - ▶ Describing  $M(G)$  for  $G$  closed, oligomorphic permutation group.
  - ▶ Evidence. Work on Ramsey expansions of Fraïssé classes: Nešetřil - Rödl; Jasinski, Laflamme, Nguyen van Thé, Woodrow; ...

# Question

- Question asked (around 2011) by: Bodirsky, Pinsker, Tsankov; Nešetřil; Nguyen van Thé:
  - ▶ If  $M$  is countable  $\omega$ -categorical, is there an  $\omega$ -categorical expansion  $N$  of  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(N)$  extremely amenable? Equivalently, is there a precompact e.a. closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M)$ .
- Particularly interesting case:  $M$  homogeneous in a finite relational language.
- Why ask the question?
  - ▶ Ubiquity of  $\omega$ -categorical structures with e.a. automorphism groups
  - ▶ Ubiquity of Ramsey classes
  - ▶ Applications: reducts; complexity of CSP's (Bodirsky, Pinsker et al.)
  - ▶ Describing  $M(G)$  for  $G$  closed, oligomorphic permutation group.
  - ▶ Evidence. Work on Ramsey expansions of Fraïssé classes: Nešetřil - Rödl; Jasinski, Laflamme, Nguyen van Thé, Woodrow; ...

# Question

- Question asked (around 2011) by: Bodirsky, Pinsker, Tsankov; Nešetřil; Nguyen van Thé:
  - ▶ If  $M$  is countable  $\omega$ -categorical, is there an  $\omega$ -categorical expansion  $N$  of  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(N)$  extremely amenable? Equivalently, is there a precompact e.a. closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M)$ .
- Particularly interesting case:  $M$  homogeneous in a finite relational language.
- Why ask the question?
  - ▶ Ubiquity of  $\omega$ -categorical structures with e.a. automorphism groups
  - ▶ Ubiquity of Ramsey classes
  - ▶ Applications: reducts; complexity of CSP's (Bodirsky, Pinsker et al.)
  - ▶ Describing  $M(G)$  for  $G$  closed, oligomorphic permutation group.
  - ▶ Evidence. Work on Ramsey expansions of Fraïssé classes: Nešetřil - Rödl; Jasinski, Laflamme, Nguyen van Thé, Woodrow; ...

# Question

- Question asked (around 2011) by: Bodirsky, Pinsker, Tsankov; Nešetřil; Nguyen van Thé:
  - ▶ If  $M$  is countable  $\omega$ -categorical, is there an  $\omega$ -categorical expansion  $N$  of  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(N)$  extremely amenable? Equivalently, is there a precompact e.a. closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M)$ .
- Particularly interesting case:  $M$  homogeneous in a finite relational language.
- Why ask the question?
  - ▶ Ubiquity of  $\omega$ -categorical structures with e.a. automorphism groups
  - ▶ Ubiquity of Ramsey classes
  - ▶ Applications: reducts; complexity of CSP's (Bodirsky, Pinsker et al.)
  - ▶ Describing  $M(G)$  for  $G$  closed, oligomorphic permutation group.
  - ▶ Evidence. Work on Ramsey expansions of Fraïssé classes: Nešetřil - Rödl; Jasinski, Laflamme, Nguyen van Thé, Woodrow; ...

# Question

- Question asked (around 2011) by: Bodirsky, Pinsker, Tsankov; Nešetřil; Nguyen van Thé:
  - ▶ If  $M$  is countable  $\omega$ -categorical, is there an  $\omega$ -categorical expansion  $N$  of  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(N)$  extremely amenable? Equivalently, is there a precompact e.a. closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M)$ .
- Particularly interesting case:  $M$  homogeneous in a finite relational language.
- Why ask the question?
  - ▶ Ubiquity of  $\omega$ -categorical structures with e.a. automorphism groups
  - ▶ Ubiquity of Ramsey classes
  - ▶ Applications: reducts; complexity of CSP's (Bodirsky, Pinsker et al.)
  - ▶ Describing  $M(G)$  for  $G$  closed, oligomorphic permutation group.
  - ▶ Evidence. Work on Ramsey expansions of Fraïssé classes: Nešetřil - Rödl; Jasinski, Laflamme, Nguyen van Thé, Woodrow; ...

# Question

- Question asked (around 2011) by: Bodirsky, Pinsker, Tsankov; Nešetřil; Nguyen van Thé:
  - ▶ If  $M$  is countable  $\omega$ -categorical, is there an  $\omega$ -categorical expansion  $N$  of  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(N)$  extremely amenable? Equivalently, is there a precompact e.a. closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M)$ .
- Particularly interesting case:  $M$  homogeneous in a finite relational language.
- Why ask the question?
  - ▶ Ubiquity of  $\omega$ -categorical structures with e.a. automorphism groups
  - ▶ Ubiquity of Ramsey classes
  - ▶ Applications: reducts; complexity of CSP's (Bodirsky, Pinsker et al.)
  - ▶ Describing  $M(G)$  for  $G$  closed, oligomorphic permutation group.
  - ▶ Evidence. Work on Ramsey expansions of Fraïssé classes: Nešetřil - Rödl; Jasinski, Laflamme, Nguyen van Thé, Woodrow; ...

# Question

- Question asked (around 2011) by: Bodirsky, Pinsker, Tsankov; Nešetřil; Nguyen van Thé:
  - ▶ If  $M$  is countable  $\omega$ -categorical, is there an  $\omega$ -categorical expansion  $N$  of  $M$  with  $\text{Aut}(N)$  extremely amenable? Equivalently, is there a precompact e.a. closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M)$ .
- Particularly interesting case:  $M$  homogeneous in a finite relational language.
- Why ask the question?
  - ▶ Ubiquity of  $\omega$ -categorical structures with e.a. automorphism groups
  - ▶ Ubiquity of Ramsey classes
  - ▶ Applications: reducts; complexity of CSP's (Bodirsky, Pinsker et al.)
  - ▶ Describing  $M(G)$  for  $G$  closed, oligomorphic permutation group.
  - ▶ Evidence. Work on Ramsey expansions of Fraïssé classes: Nešetřil - Rödl; Jasinski, Laflamme, Nguyen van Thé, Woodrow; ...



# Sparse graphs.

DEF: Suppose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A graph  $M = (M; E)$  is  $k$ -sparse if for all finite  $A \subseteq M$  we have  $|E[A]| \leq k|A|$ .

FACT: If the graph  $M = (M; E)$  is  $k$ -sparse, then it is  $k$ -orientable: the edges of  $M$  can be directed so that each vertex has at most  $k$  directed edges coming out.

DEF: If  $M$  is  $k$ -sparse, let

$$X(M) = \{D \subseteq M^2 : (M; D) \text{ is a } k\text{-orientation of } M\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{M^2}.$$

Note that this is an  $\text{Aut}(M)$ -flow.

## Sparse graphs.

DEF: Suppose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A graph  $M = (M; E)$  is  $k$ -sparse if for all finite  $A \subseteq M$  we have  $|E[A]| \leq k|A|$ .

FACT: If the graph  $M = (M; E)$  is  $k$ -sparse, then it is  $k$ -orientable: the edges of  $M$  can be directed so that each vertex has at most  $k$  directed edges coming out.

DEF: If  $M$  is  $k$ -sparse, let

$$X(M) = \{D \subseteq M^2 : (M; D) \text{ is a } k\text{-orientation of } M\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{M^2}.$$

Note that this is an  $\text{Aut}(M)$ -flow.

## Sparse graphs.

DEF: Suppose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A graph  $M = (M; E)$  is  $k$ -sparse if for all finite  $A \subseteq M$  we have  $|E[A]| \leq k|A|$ .

FACT: If the graph  $M = (M; E)$  is  $k$ -sparse, then it is  $k$ -orientable: the edges of  $M$  can be directed so that each vertex has at most  $k$  directed edges coming out.

DEF: If  $M$  is  $k$ -sparse, let

$$X(M) = \{D \subseteq M^2 : (M; D) \text{ is a } k\text{-orientation of } M\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{M^2}.$$

Note that this is an  $\text{Aut}(M)$ -flow.

## Sparse graphs.

DEF: Suppose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A graph  $M = (M; E)$  is  $k$ -sparse if for all finite  $A \subseteq M$  we have  $|E[A]| \leq k|A|$ .

FACT: If the graph  $M = (M; E)$  is  $k$ -sparse, then it is  $k$ -orientable: the edges of  $M$  can be directed so that each vertex has at most  $k$  directed edges coming out.

DEF: If  $M$  is  $k$ -sparse, let

$$X(M) = \{D \subseteq M^2 : (M; D) \text{ is a } k\text{-orientation of } M\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{M^2}.$$

Note that this is an  $\text{Aut}(M)$ -flow.

# Theorem A

FACT: (Hrushovski) There is an  $\omega$ -categorical 2-sparse graph  $M_F$  with all vertices of infinite valency.

Theorem A' (DE, Jan Hubička and Jaroslav Nešetřil)

Suppose  $M$  is a countable,  $k$ -sparse graph of infinite valency. If  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$  is amenable, then  $H$  has infinitely many orbits on  $M^2$ .

COROLLARY: There is no precompact amenable subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M_F)$ .

# Theorem A

FACT: (Hrushovski) There is an  $\omega$ -categorical 2-sparse graph  $M_F$  with all vertices of infinite valency.

## Theorem A' (DE, Jan Hubička and Jaroslav Nešetřil)

Suppose  $M$  is a countable,  $k$ -sparse graph of infinite valency. If  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$  is amenable, then  $H$  has infinitely many orbits on  $M^2$ .

COROLLARY: There is no precompact amenable subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M_F)$ .

# Theorem A

FACT: (Hrushovski) There is an  $\omega$ -categorical 2-sparse graph  $M_F$  with all vertices of infinite valency.

## Theorem A' (DE, Jan Hubička and Jaroslav Nešetřil)

Suppose  $M$  is a countable,  $k$ -sparse graph of infinite valency. If  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$  is amenable, then  $H$  has infinitely many orbits on  $M^2$ .

COROLLARY: There is no precompact amenable subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(M_F)$ .

## Proof of Thm A': Step 1

- Suppose  $M$  is a graph with all vertices of infinite valency and  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$  has finitely many orbits on  $M^2$ .
- If  $c \in M$  let  $H_c$  denote the stabilizer of  $c$  in  $H$ .
- For  $c \in M$  let  $\text{cl}(c)$  be the union of the finite  $H_c$ -orbits on  $M$ .
- There is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $|\text{cl}(c)| \leq n$  for all  $c \in M$ .
- If  $b \in \text{cl}(c)$  then  $\text{cl}(b) \subseteq \text{cl}(c)$ .
- STEP 1: There are adjacent  $a, b \in M$  such that  $b$  is in an infinite  $H_a$ -orbit and  $a$  is in an infinite  $H_b$ -orbit.

PROOF: Suppose there do not exist such  $a, b$ . Then for every edge  $a, b$  in  $M$  either  $a \in \text{cl}(b)$  or  $b \in \text{cl}(a)$ . Take  $b$  with  $\text{cl}(b)$  of maximal size. There is  $a \notin \text{cl}(b)$  adjacent to  $b$ . By assumption,  $\text{cl}(a) \supset \text{cl}(b)$ : contradiction.



## Proof of Thm A': Step 1

- Suppose  $M$  is a graph with all vertices of infinite valency and  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$  has finitely many orbits on  $M^2$ .
- If  $c \in M$  let  $H_c$  denote the stabilizer of  $c$  in  $H$ .
- For  $c \in M$  let  $\text{cl}(c)$  be the union of the finite  $H_c$ -orbits on  $M$ .
- There is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $|\text{cl}(c)| \leq n$  for all  $c \in M$ .
- If  $b \in \text{cl}(c)$  then  $\text{cl}(b) \subseteq \text{cl}(c)$ .
- STEP 1: There are adjacent  $a, b \in M$  such that  $b$  is in an infinite  $H_a$ -orbit and  $a$  is in an infinite  $H_b$ -orbit.

PROOF: Suppose there do not exist such  $a, b$ . Then for every edge  $a, b$  in  $M$  either  $a \in \text{cl}(b)$  or  $b \in \text{cl}(a)$ . Take  $b$  with  $\text{cl}(b)$  of maximal size. There is  $a \notin \text{cl}(b)$  adjacent to  $b$ . By assumption,  $\text{cl}(a) \supset \text{cl}(b)$ : contradiction.

## Proof of Thm A': step 2

- GIVEN:  $M$  is a  $k$ -sparse graph,  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ , and  $a, b \in M$  are adjacent and such that  $a$  is in an infinite  $H_b$ -orbit and  $b$  is in an infinite  $H_a$ -orbit.
- **Show**  $H$  is not amenable.
- Suppose there is an  $H$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X(M)$ .
- Let  $S(ab) = \{D \in X(M) : (a, b) \in D\}$ . May assume  $p = \mu(S(ab)) > 0$ .
- Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  be in the same  $H_a$ -orbit as  $b$  and  $s_i$  the characteristic function of  $S(ab_i)$ . Note  $\mu(S(ab_i)) = p$ .
- For  $D \in X(M)$ ,

$$\sum_{i \leq n} s_i(D) \leq k \text{ so } \int_{D \in X(M)} \sum_{i \leq n} s_i(D) d\mu(D) \leq k.$$

- So  $np \leq k$ : contradiction.

## Proof of Thm A': step 2

- GIVEN:  $M$  is a  $k$ -sparse graph,  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ , and  $a, b \in M$  are adjacent and such that  $a$  is in an infinite  $H_b$ -orbit and  $b$  is in an infinite  $H_a$ -orbit.
- **Show**  $H$  is not amenable.
- Suppose there is an  $H$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X(M)$ .
- Let  $S(ab) = \{D \in X(M) : (a, b) \in D\}$ . May assume  $p = \mu(S(ab)) > 0$ .
- Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  be in the same  $H_a$ -orbit as  $b$  and  $s_i$  the characteristic function of  $S(ab_i)$ . Note  $\mu(S(ab_i)) = p$ .
- For  $D \in X(M)$ ,

$$\sum_{i \leq n} s_i(D) \leq k \text{ so } \int_{D \in X(M)} \sum_{i \leq n} s_i(D) d\mu(D) \leq k.$$

- So  $np \leq k$ : contradiction.

## Proof of Thm A': step 2

- GIVEN:  $M$  is a  $k$ -sparse graph,  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ , and  $a, b \in M$  are adjacent and such that  $a$  is in an infinite  $H_b$ -orbit and  $b$  is in an infinite  $H_a$ -orbit.
- **Show**  $H$  is not amenable.
- Suppose there is an  $H$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X(M)$ .
- Let  $S(ab) = \{D \in X(M) : (a, b) \in D\}$ . May assume  $p = \mu(S(ab)) > 0$ .
- Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  be in the same  $H_a$ -orbit as  $b$  and  $s_i$  the characteristic function of  $S(ab_i)$ . Note  $\mu(S(ab_i)) = p$ .
- For  $D \in X(M)$ ,

$$\sum_{i \leq n} s_i(D) \leq k \text{ so } \int_{D \in X(M)} \sum_{i \leq n} s_i(D) d\mu(D) \leq k.$$

- So  $np \leq k$ : contradiction.

## Proof of Thm A': step 2

- GIVEN:  $M$  is a  $k$ -sparse graph,  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ , and  $a, b \in M$  are adjacent and such that  $a$  is in an infinite  $H_b$ -orbit and  $b$  is in an infinite  $H_a$ -orbit.
- **Show**  $H$  is not amenable.
- Suppose there is an  $H$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X(M)$ .
- Let  $S(ab) = \{D \in X(M) : (a, b) \in D\}$ . May assume  $p = \mu(S(ab)) > 0$ .
- Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  be in the same  $H_a$ -orbit as  $b$  and  $s_i$  the characteristic function of  $S(ab_i)$ . Note  $\mu(S(ab_i)) = p$ .
- For  $D \in X(M)$ ,

$$\sum_{i \leq n} s_i(D) \leq k \text{ so } \int_{D \in X(M)} \sum_{i \leq n} s_i(D) d\mu(D) \leq k.$$

- So  $np \leq k$ : contradiction.

## Proof of Thm A': step 2

- GIVEN:  $M$  is a  $k$ -sparse graph,  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ , and  $a, b \in M$  are adjacent and such that  $a$  is in an infinite  $H_b$ -orbit and  $b$  is in an infinite  $H_a$ -orbit.
- **Show**  $H$  is not amenable.
- Suppose there is an  $H$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X(M)$ .
- Let  $S(ab) = \{D \in X(M) : (a, b) \in D\}$ . May assume  $p = \mu(S(ab)) > 0$ .
- Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  be in the same  $H_a$ -orbit as  $b$  and  $s_i$  the characteristic function of  $S(ab_i)$ . Note  $\mu(S(ab_i)) = p$ .
- For  $D \in X(M)$ ,

$$\sum_{i \leq n} s_i(D) \leq k \text{ so } \int_{D \in X(M)} \sum_{i \leq n} s_i(D) d\mu(D) \leq k.$$

- So  $np \leq k$ : contradiction.

## Further results

**THEOREM B:** Suppose  $Y \subseteq X(\text{Aut}(M_F))$  is a minimal  $\text{Aut}(M_F)$ -subflow. Then all  $\text{Aut}(M_F)$ -orbits on  $Y$  are meagre in  $Y$ .

Other things: Find e.a. subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(M_F)$  which are maximal e.a. ; likewise for amenable subgroups...

## Further results

THEOREM B: Suppose  $Y \subseteq X(\text{Aut}(M_F))$  is a minimal  $\text{Aut}(M_F)$ -subflow. Then all  $\text{Aut}(M_F)$ -orbits on  $Y$  are meagre in  $Y$ .

Other things: Find e.a. subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(M_F)$  which are maximal e.a. ; likewise for amenable subgroups...



# Open Questions

QUESTION: (Bodirsky, . . .) If  $M$  is a structure homogeneous for a finite relational language, is there a precompact e.a. subgroup  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ ?

SIDE QUESTION: Is there a homogeneous structure in a finite relational language in which a sparse graph of infinite valency can be interpreted?

QUESTION: (A. Ivanov) If  $M$  is  $\omega$ -categorical and  $\text{Aut}(M)$  is amenable, is there a precompact e.a. subgroup  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ ?

# Open Questions

QUESTION: (Bodirsky, . . .) If  $M$  is a structure homogeneous for a finite relational language, is there a precompact e.a. subgroup  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ ?

SIDE QUESTION: Is there a homogeneous structure in a finite relational language in which a sparse graph of infinite valency can be interpreted?

QUESTION: (A. Ivanov) If  $M$  is  $\omega$ -categorical and  $\text{Aut}(M)$  is amenable, is there a precompact e.a. subgroup  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ ?

# Open Questions

QUESTION: (Bodirsky, . . .) If  $M$  is a structure homogeneous for a finite relational language, is there a precompact e.a. subgroup  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ ?

SIDE QUESTION: Is there a homogeneous structure in a finite relational language in which a sparse graph of infinite valency can be interpreted?

QUESTION: (A. Ivanov) If  $M$  is  $\omega$ -categorical and  $\text{Aut}(M)$  is amenable, is there a precompact e.a. subgroup  $H \leq \text{Aut}(M)$ ?

# Hrushovski's construction I

- $\mathcal{G}$ : class of finite graphs  $(A; R)$
- If  $C \subseteq A \in \mathcal{G}$  let

$$\delta(C) = 2|C| - |R[C]|.$$

(Predimension of  $C$ .)

- If  $A \subseteq B \in \mathcal{C}$  write  $A \leq_d B$  if  $\delta(X) > \delta(A)$  whenever  $A \subset X \subseteq B$ .
- Note: If  $A \leq_d B \leq_d C$  then  $A \leq_d C$ .

# Hrushovski's construction I

- $\mathcal{G}$ : class of finite graphs  $(A; R)$
- If  $C \subseteq A \in \mathcal{G}$  let

$$\delta(C) = 2|C| - |R[C]|.$$

(Predimension of  $C$ .)

- If  $A \subseteq B \in \mathcal{C}$  write  $A \leq_d B$  if  $\delta(X) > \delta(A)$  whenever  $A \subset X \subseteq B$ .
- Note: If  $A \leq_d B \leq_d C$  then  $A \leq_d C$ .

# Hrushovski's construction I

- $\mathcal{G}$ : class of finite graphs  $(A; R)$
- If  $C \subseteq A \in \mathcal{G}$  let

$$\delta(C) = 2|C| - |R[C]|.$$

(Predimension of  $C$ .)

- If  $A \subseteq B \in \mathcal{C}$  write  $A \leq_d B$  if  $\delta(X) > \delta(A)$  whenever  $A \subset X \subseteq B$ .
- Note: If  $A \leq_d B \leq_d C$  then  $A \leq_d C$ .

# Hrushovski's construction II

- $F : \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  an increasing function which tends to infinity.
- Let

$$\mathcal{G}_F = \{A \in \mathcal{G} : \delta(Y) \geq F(|Y|) \text{ for all } Y \subseteq A\}.$$

- For suitable  $F$  the class  $(\mathcal{G}_F, \leq_d)$  has free amalgamation over  $\leq_d$ -substructures.
- In this case the Fraïssé limit construction gives a countable graph  $M_F$  characterised by:
  - ▶  $M_F$  is the union of a chain of finite  $\leq_d$ -subgraphs;
  - ▶ every graph in  $\mathcal{G}_F$  is isomorphic to a  $\leq_d$ -subgraph of  $M_F$ ;
  - ▶ isomorphisms between finite  $\leq_d$ -subgraphs of  $M_F$  extend to automorphisms.
- The graph  $M_F$  is 2-sparse and  $\omega$ -categorical.

# Hrushovski's construction II

- $F : \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  an increasing function which tends to infinity.
- Let

$$\mathcal{G}_F = \{A \in \mathcal{G} : \delta(Y) \geq F(|Y|) \text{ for all } Y \subseteq A\}.$$

- For suitable  $F$  the class  $(\mathcal{G}_F, \leq_d)$  has free amalgamation over  $\leq_d$ -substructures.
- In this case the Fraïssé limit construction gives a countable graph  $M_F$  characterised by:
  - ▶  $M_F$  is the union of a chain of finite  $\leq_d$ -subgraphs;
  - ▶ every graph in  $\mathcal{G}_F$  is isomorphic to a  $\leq_d$ -subgraph of  $M_F$ ;
  - ▶ isomorphisms between finite  $\leq_d$ -subgraphs of  $M_F$  extend to automorphisms.
- The graph  $M_F$  is 2-sparse and  $\omega$ -categorical.



# Hrushovski's construction II

- $F : \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  an increasing function which tends to infinity.
- Let

$$\mathcal{G}_F = \{A \in \mathcal{G} : \delta(Y) \geq F(|Y|) \text{ for all } Y \subseteq A\}.$$

- For suitable  $F$  the class  $(\mathcal{G}_F, \leq_d)$  has free amalgamation over  $\leq_d$ -substructures.
- In this case the Fraïssé limit construction gives a countable graph  $M_F$  characterised by:
  - ▶  $M_F$  is the union of a chain of finite  $\leq_d$ -subgraphs;
  - ▶ every graph in  $\mathcal{G}_F$  is isomorphic to a  $\leq_d$ -subgraph of  $M_F$ ;
  - ▶ isomorphisms between finite  $\leq_d$ -subgraphs of  $M_F$  extend to automorphisms.
- The graph  $M_F$  is 2-sparse and  $\omega$ -categorical.