

# Definable equivariant retractions onto skeleta in non-archimedean geometry

Martin Hils

Universität Münster

(joint work with Ehud Hrushovski and Pierre Simon)

Workshop *Model Theory and Applications* (IHP, Paris)

28 March 2018

## ACVF

- ACVF denotes the theory of non-trivially valued algebraically closed fields.
- $K$  will always denote a model of ACVF,  $U \succ K$  a monster model.
- $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma_K$  denotes the valuation map, with  $\Gamma_K$  the value group.
- $\mathcal{O}_K \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_K$ ,  $k_K = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$  denote the valuation ring, its maximal ideal, and the residue field, respectively.
- The corresponding sorts are denoted by  $\mathcal{O} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\mathbf{k} = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$ , and  $\Gamma$ . Finally,  $\Gamma_\infty = \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  (with the order topology).
- By Robinson's work, ACVF has QE in a natural language, so the definable subsets of  $K^n$  are just the semi-algebraic ones.

**Guiding philosophy:** Understand, as much as possible, ACVF in terms of

- (i) the residue field  $\mathbf{k}$ , which is a pure ACF, in particular strongly minimal, and
- (ii) the value group  $\Gamma$ , which is a pure DOAG, in particular  $o$ -minimal.

## Stably dominated types in ACVF

## Definition

Let  $\text{St}_C$  be the union of all stable stably embedded  $C$ -definable sets. Set  $\text{St}_C(B) := \text{St}_C \cap \text{dcl}(BC)$ . A  $C$ -definable global type  $p(x)$  is called **stably dominated** if for any  $B \supseteq C$  and  $a \models p \upharpoonright C$  such that  $\text{St}_C(a) \perp_{\text{St}_C(C)} \text{St}_C(B)$  one has  $\text{tp}(B/\text{St}_C(a)) \vdash \text{tp}(B/Ca)$ .

## Fact (Haskell-Hrushovski-Macpherson)

*A definable type  $p$  in ACVF is stably dominated if and only if  $p \perp \Gamma$ .*

## Examples

The generic type of  $\mathcal{O}$ , more generally the generic type  $\eta_{c,\gamma}$  of any closed ball  $B_{\geq\gamma}(c)$ , is stably dominated, whereas the generic type of an open ball is not. Any  $\text{tp}(\bar{a}/K)$  with  $\text{td}(K(\bar{a})/K) = \text{td}(k_{K(\bar{a})}/k_K)$  is stably dominated. Such types are called **strongly stably dominated**.

Let us illustrate this for the generic of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Suppose  $a \models \eta_{0,0} \upharpoonright K$ .

- If  $K \subseteq L$ , then  $a \models \eta_{0,0} \upharpoonright L$  if and only if  $\text{res}(a) \perp_{k_K} k_L$ .
- If  $F(X) = \sum c_i X^i \in K[X]$ , then the value  $v(F(a)) = \min\{v(c_i)\}$  is independent of the realization  $a$ , so the germ of  $v \circ F$  at  $\eta_{0,0}$  is constant.

## The valuation topology

- $K$  is a topological field, with basis of neighbourhoods given by open balls. This topology is totally disconnected.
- Using the product topology on  $\mathbb{A}^n(K) = K^n$ , the subspace topology on closed subvarieties of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  and glueing, for any algebraic variety  $V$  over  $K$ , we obtain a topology on  $V(K)$ , the valuation topology, which is totally disconnected.
- The Berkovich analytification  $V_K^{an}$  is a remedy to this topological behaviour. It embeds  $V(K)$  as a dense subspace, and it has nice topological properties (locally compact, locally path-connected, retracts to a polyhedron...)

# The Hrushovski-Loeser space $\widehat{V}$ associated to a variety $V$

Hrushovski and Loeser defined a model-theoretic analogue  $\widehat{V}$  of  $V_K^{an}$ :

- $\widehat{V}(B) :=$  set of  $B$ -definable stably dominated types on  $V$ .
- $\widehat{V}$  is  $C$ -prodefinable, i.e., a projective limit of  $C$ -definable sets.
- The topology on  $\widehat{V}$  is given (on affine pieces) as the coarsest topology such that for any regular  $F$ , the map  $f = v \circ F : \widehat{V} \rightarrow \Gamma_\infty$  is continuous. (Note that for  $p \in \widehat{V}$ , as  $p \perp \Gamma$ , the  $p$ -germ of  $f$  is constant  $\equiv \gamma$ , so we may set  $f(p) := \gamma$ .)
- If  $X \subseteq V$  is definable, we put the subspace topology on  $\widehat{X}$ .
- $X(K) \subseteq \widehat{X}(K)$  is dense and has the induced topology.
- $X^\# := \{p \in \widehat{X} \mid p \text{ is strongly stably dominated}\}$
- $V \mapsto \widehat{V}$  is functorial: if  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a morphism of algebraic varieties, then  $\widehat{f} : \widehat{V} \rightarrow \widehat{W}$  is prodefinable and continuous.

## Example

$$\widehat{\mathbb{A}^1} = (\mathbb{A}^1)^\# = \{\eta_{c,\gamma} \mid c \text{ a field element, } \gamma \in \Gamma_\infty\}.$$

## Main Theorem of Hrushovski-Loeser

We call generalized interval any finite concatenation of closed intervals in  $\Gamma_\infty$ .

### Theorem (Hrushovski-Loeser)

Let  $C \subseteq K$ , let  $V$  be a quasiprojective variety over  $C$ , and let  $X \subseteq V$  be a  $C$ -definable subset. Then there is a  $C$ -prodefinable continuous map

$$\rho : I \times \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X},$$

with  $I = [i_l, e_l]$  a generalized interval, such that  $\rho$  is a strong deformation retraction onto some  $\Gamma$ -internal  $\Sigma \subseteq \widehat{X}$ . More precisely, the following  $(\dagger)$  hold:

- $\rho(i_l, \cdot) = \text{id}_{\widehat{X}}$
- $\rho(\gamma, \cdot) \upharpoonright_\Sigma = \text{id}_\Sigma$  for all  $\gamma \in I$
- $\rho(e_l, \widehat{X}) = \Sigma = \rho(e_l, X)$
- $\rho(I \times X^\#) \subseteq X^\#$
- For any  $(\gamma, x) \in I \times \widehat{X}$ , one has  $\rho(e_l, \rho(\gamma, x)) = \rho(e_l, x)$ .
- $\Sigma$  is  $C$ -definably homeomorphic to a subset of  $\Gamma_\infty^w$ , for  $w$  finite  $C$ -definable.

### Remark

If  $V$  is smooth and  $X \subseteq V$  is clopen in the valuation topology and bounded in  $V$ , then one may achieve in addition that  $I = [0, \infty]$ , with  $i_l = \infty$  and  $e_l = 0$ , and that  $\Sigma$  embeds  $C$ -homeomorphically into  $\Gamma^w$ .

## Equivariant retractions

- Let  $G$  be an algebraic group and  $H \leq G$  a  $K$ -definable subgroup.
- Then  $H(K)$  acts prodefinably on  $\widehat{H}(K)$ , by translation.
- Question: When is there an  $H$ -equivariant prodefinable strong deformation retraction of  $\widehat{H}$  onto a  $\Gamma$ -internal space?

### Examples

- The standard strong deformation retraction  $\rho : [0, \infty] \times \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ , sending  $(\gamma, \eta_{c, \delta})$  to  $\eta_{c, \min(\delta, \gamma)}$  is  $(\mathcal{O}, +)$ -equivariant with final image  $\{\eta_{0,0}\}$ .
- The map  $\rho' : [0, \infty] \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ ,  $(\gamma, c) \mapsto \eta_{c, v(c)+\gamma}$  extends uniquely to a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant strong deformation retraction  $\rho : [0, \infty] \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ , via

$$\rho(\gamma, \eta_{c, v(c)+\delta}) = \eta_{c, v(c)+\min(\gamma, \delta)} \quad (\text{for } c \neq 0, \delta \geq 0).$$

Its final image is  $\{\eta_{c, v(c)} \mid c \neq 0\} = \{\eta_{0, \gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} \cong \Gamma$ .

**Note:** In the example of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , setting  $q_\gamma = \rho(\gamma, 1) = \eta_{1, \gamma}$ , one may check that

$$\rho(\gamma, p) = \widehat{\mu}(q_\gamma \otimes p),$$

the convolution of  $q_\gamma$  and  $p$ . Here,  $\mu$  denotes the multiplication in  $\mathbb{G}_m$ .

## The main result

A semiabelian variety is an algebraic group  $S$  such that there is an algebraic torus  $\mathbb{G}_m^n \cong T \leq S$  with  $S/T = A$  an abelian variety.

Note that  $S$  is commutative and divisible.

### Theorem (H.-Hrushovski-Simon 2018+)

*Let  $S$  be a semiabelian variety defined over  $C \subseteq K \models \text{ACVF}$ . Then there is a  $C$ -prodefinable  $S$ -equivariant strong deformation retraction*

$$\rho : [0, \infty] \times \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$$

*onto a  $\Gamma$ -internal space  $\Sigma \subseteq \widehat{S}$ , with  $\rho$  satisfying  $(\dagger)$ .*

### Remark

*The analogous result for Berkovich analytifications of semiabelian varieties is well known. (It follows from analytic uniformization.) It may also be deduced from our theorem.*



## Stably dominated groups

- For  $G$  a definable group,  $p \in S_G(U)$  and  $g \in G(U)$ , set

$$g \cdot p := \{\varphi(g^{-1}x, a) \mid \varphi(x, a) \in p\}.$$

- A type  $p \in S_G(U)$  is called **right generic** if there is  $C$  small such that  $g \cdot p$  is  $C$ -definable for every  $g \in G(U)$ .
- $G$  is called **(strongly) stably dominated** if it admits a (strongly) stably dominated right generic type.
- Example:  $\mathcal{O}$  is strongly stably dominated, with unique generic type  $\eta_{0,0}$ .

### Fact

*Suppose  $G$  is stably dominated. Then left and right generics coincide, the generic types form a single  $G$ -orbit under translation, and  $\text{Stab}(p) = G^0 = G^{00}$  for any generic type  $p$ .*

- We say  $G$  is **connected** if  $G = G^0$ .

# Decomposition of definable abelian groups in ACVF

Are there maximal stably dominated subgroups of definable groups?

## Examples

- 1  $\mathcal{O}^{*n}$  is maximal stably dominated in  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$ , with quotient  $\Gamma^n$ .
- 2  $(K, +) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \mathcal{O}$ , and there is no maximal one.

## Theorem (Hrushovski-Rideau)

Let  $S$  be a semiabelian variety defined over  $C \subseteq K \models \text{ACVF}$ . Then there is  $N = N^0 \leq S$  strongly stably dominated  $C$ -definable such that

- $N$  is the maximal stably dominated definable subgroup of  $S$ , and
- $S/N = \Lambda$  is  $\Gamma$ -internal.

This theorem follows from a general structure result by Hrushovski-Rideau, describing any abelian group definable in ACVF as an extension of a  $\Gamma$ -internal group by a limit (indexed by  $\Gamma$ ) of stably dominated groups.

## Proof strategy for the main theorem

For  $S$  semiabelian, we consider the decomposition from above:

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow S \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow 0$$

**Proof strategy** (mimicking the construction in the case of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ ):

- Construct a continuous definable path  $q : [0, \infty] \rightarrow N^\#$ , with  $q_\infty = 0$ ,  $q_0 = p_N$  (the generic type of  $N$ ) and  $q_\gamma$  the generic of a strongly stably dominated connected subgroup of  $N$  for all  $\gamma$ .
- Define  $\rho : [0, \infty] \times \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$  as the following composition:

$$\rho : [0, \infty] \times \widehat{S} \xrightarrow{q \times \text{id}} \widehat{S} \times \widehat{S} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \widehat{S \times S} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mu}} \widehat{S}$$

Thus,  $\rho(\gamma, r) := \text{tp}(a_\gamma + b/U)$ , where  $(a_\gamma, b) \models (q_\gamma \otimes r) \upharpoonright U$ .

- Show that  $\rho$  is continuous (only continuity of  $\otimes$  being an issue).
- Then  $\Sigma' = \rho(0, S(U)) = \{a + p_N \mid a \in S(U)\} \cong S/N = \Lambda$  is  $\Gamma$ -internal, and so by construction  $\Sigma = \rho(0, \widehat{S}(U)) = \Sigma'$  as well, since  $\widehat{\Sigma'} = \Sigma'$ .

## Main result, final version

Implementing the described proof strategy will yield:

**Theorem (H.-Hrushovski-Simon 2018+)**

*Let  $S$  be a semiabelian variety defined over  $C \subseteq K \models \text{ACVF}$ , and let  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow S \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow 0$  be the decomposition from above.*

*Then there is a  $C$ -prodefinable  $S$ -equivariant strong deformation retraction*

$$\rho : [0, \infty] \times \widehat{S} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$$

*onto a  $\Gamma$ -internal space  $\Sigma \subseteq \widehat{S}$ , which satisfies  $(\dagger)$ , such that  $\Sigma$  is in definable bijection with  $\Lambda$ , canonically. Moreover, for each  $\gamma \in [0, \infty]$ ,  $q_\gamma = \rho(\gamma, 0)$  is the generic type of a strongly stably dominated connected definable subgroup of  $N$ .*

## Continuity of the tensor product

- For definable global types  $p(x)$  and  $q(y)$  we define a global type  $p \otimes q$  via  $(a, b) \models p \otimes q \mid U : \Leftrightarrow b \models q \mid U$  and  $a \models p \mid Ub$ .
- Assuming  $p$  and  $q$  are both  $C$ -definable / stably dominated / strongly stably dominated, the same holds for  $p \otimes q$ .
- If  $V, W$  are varieties,  $\otimes : \widehat{V} \times \widehat{W} \rightarrow \widehat{V \times W}$  is pro-definable.

In general,  $\otimes$  is not continuous: let  $V = W = \mathbb{A}^1$ ,  $\Delta = \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$ , then  $\widehat{\Delta} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{A}^2}$  is closed, whereas  $\otimes^{-1}(\widehat{\Delta}) = \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{A}^1} \times \widehat{\mathbb{A}^1}$  is not.

### Fact (Continuity of $\otimes$ )

Let  $V, W$  be varieties, and let  $\Xi \subseteq V^\#$  be a definable  $\Gamma$ -internal subset. Then  $\otimes : \Xi \times \widehat{W} \rightarrow \widehat{V \times W}$  is continuous.

## First proof

Let  $N$  be a connected strongly stably dominated subgroup of an algebraic group  $G$ , such that  $\dim(N) = \dim(G) = d$ .

- $N$  is clopen and bounded in  $G$ .
- $\widehat{N}$  is definably connected.
- It follows from the main theorem of Hrushovski-Loeser that there is a definable path  $r : [0, \infty] \rightarrow N^\#$  such that  $r_\infty = 0$ ,  $r_0 = p_N$  and  $\dim(r_\gamma) = d$  for all  $\gamma < \infty$ .

Now assume  $N$  is **commutative**.

- Given  $s \in \widehat{N}(U)$ , for  $(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n) \models s^{\otimes 2n} \mid U$ , let

$$s^{\pm n} = \text{tp}(c/U), \text{ where } c = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i).$$

- For  $\gamma \in [0, \infty]$ , the type  $q_\gamma = r_\gamma^{\pm d} \in N^\#$  is the generic of a definable connected strongly stably dominated subgroup of  $N$  (by a version of Zilber indecomposability due to Hrushovski-Rideau).
- By continuity of  $\otimes$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto q_\gamma$  is continuous. □

## Maximal internal quotients of stably dominated groups

- Let  $T = T^{\text{eq}}$  be a complete NIP theory, and let  $C \subseteq M \models T$ .
- For  $D$  a  $C$ -definable stably embedded set, let  $\text{Int}_C(D)$  be the union of all  $C$ -definable  $D$ -internal sets.

### Proposition (H.-Hrushovski-Simon 2018+)

Let  $G$  be a  $C$ -prodefinable stably dominated connected group.

- There exists a  $C$ -prodefinable group  $\mathfrak{g}_D \subseteq \text{Int}_C(D)$  and a  $C$ -prodefinable homomorphism  $g : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_D$ , such that any  $C$ -prodefinable  $g' : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_D \subseteq \text{Int}_C(D)$  factors through  $g$ .
- The generic of  $\mathfrak{g}_D$  is interdefinable over  $C$  with the tuple  $\text{dcl}(Ca) \cap \text{Int}_C(D)$ , where  $a$  is a generic of  $G$  over  $C$ .

## A canonical scale

By [Hrushovski-Tatarsky 2006], for any definable  $\mathcal{I} \leq (\mathcal{O}, +)$ , the set  $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$  is stably embedded. (Note that  $\mathcal{I}$  is of the form  $\gamma\mathfrak{m}$  or  $\gamma\mathcal{O}$ .)

### Proposition (Scale lemma)

We work in  $ACVF_{0,0}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$  be definable subgroups of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

- 1  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$  is (almost)  $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ -internal.
- 2  $(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I})^d$  is the maximal  $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$ -internal quotient of  $\mathcal{O}^d$ .

This fails in positive residue characteristic (due to the Frobenius).

### Corollary

Let  $C(a) \subseteq K \models ACVF_{0,0}$  with  $\text{tp}(a/C)$  strongly stably dominated.

Then there is  $b$  from  $C(a)$  with  $b$  generic in  $\mathcal{O}^d$  over  $C$  such that for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and any  $C\gamma$ -definable  $\mathcal{I} \leq \mathcal{O}$ , the following holds:

$$\text{acl}(C\gamma a) \cap \text{Int}_{C\gamma}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \text{acl}(C\gamma, b_1/\mathcal{I}, \dots, b_d/\mathcal{I})$$



## Linearization

- Let  $G$  be an algebraic group defined over  $C \subseteq K \models \text{ACVF}_{0,0}$ , and let  $N = N^0$  be a strongly stably dominated  $C$ -definable subgroup of  $G$ , with  $N$  **not necessarily commutative**.
- For  $\gamma \in [0, \infty]$ , let  $N_\gamma$  be the connected component of the kernel of the map  $g : N \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{O}/\gamma\mathcal{O}}$ .
- Let  $N_\gamma^+$  be similarly defined, using  $\gamma\mathfrak{m}$  instead of  $\gamma\mathcal{O}$ .

### Lemma

- 1  $N_\gamma$  and  $N_\gamma^+$  are definable, and  $N_\gamma/N_\gamma^+$  is stable of Morley rank  $\dim(N)$ . In particular,  $N_\gamma$  is strongly stably dominated.
- 2 For any  $\gamma$ , one has  $\bigcup_{\delta > \gamma} N_\delta = \bigcup_{\delta > \gamma} N_\delta^+ = N_\gamma^+$ .

### Theorem (H.Hrushovski-Simon 2018+)

Let  $q_\gamma \in N^\#$  be the generic type of  $N_\gamma$ . Then  $\gamma \mapsto q_\gamma$  is a continuous  $C$ -definable path between 1 and the generic of  $N$ .

Application: Relationship between  $S/S^{00}$  and the homotopy type of  $S^{an}$ 

- For  $S$  semiabelian with  $N \leq S$  maximal stably dominated and  $\Lambda = S/N$ , we have  $S/S^{00} \cong \Lambda/\Lambda^{00}$ , as  $N = N^{00}$ .
- Working in an expansion of  $\Gamma$  to a real closed field  $\mathcal{R}$ , we infer that  $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{T}^d(\mathcal{R})$ , and thus  $\Lambda/\Lambda^{00} = \mathbb{T}^d(\mathbb{R})$ .
- So the definable homotopy type of  $\widehat{S}$  (with  $\Gamma$  expanded to a RCF) is encoded in  $S/S^{00}$ .
- If  $S$  is defined over a complete  $K \models \text{ACVF}$  with  $\Gamma_K \leq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $S_K^{an}$  and  $S/S^{00}$  (endowed with the logic topology) are homotopy equivalent.

# Stably dominated groups and equivariant contractibility

Corollary (H.-Hrushovski-Simon 2018+)

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group defined over  $C \subseteq K \models \text{ACVF}$ , and  $N = N^0 \leq G$  strongly stably dominated and  $C$ -definable. Suppose that

- either  $K$  is of equicharacteristic 0;
- or  $N$  is commutative.

Then there is a  $C$ -prodefinable  $N$ -equivariant strong deformation retraction  $\rho : [0, \infty] \times \widehat{N} \rightarrow \widehat{N}$  with final image  $\rho(0, \widehat{N}) = \{p_N\}$ .

## Question

Does the result hold for non-commutative  $N$  in any characteristic?

It is plausible that the work of Halevi on stably dominated subgroups of algebraic groups may lead to a positive answer to this question.