

# A NON-ARCHIMEDEAN AX-LINDEMANN THEOREM

François Loeser

Sorbonne University, formerly Pierre and Marie Curie University, Paris

Model Theory of Valued fields  
Institut Henri Poincaré, March 8, 2018



**ICM2022**  
International Congress  
of **Mathematicians**  
**PARIS, FRANCE**

Joint work with Antoine Chambert-Loir

## THEOREM (LINDEMANN-WEIERSTRASS)

*Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent algebraic numbers. Then  $\exp(x_1), \dots, \exp(x_n)$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraically independent.*

Geometric version due to Ax:

Let

$$p: \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (\exp(x_1), \dots, \exp(x_n)). \end{cases}$$

## THEOREM (AX)

*Let  $V$  be an irreducible closed algebraic subset of  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ . Let  $W \subset p^{-1}(V)$  be a maximal irreducible closed algebraic subset. Then  $W$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -translate of a linear subset defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

# HYPERBOLIC AX-LINDEMANN

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  denote the Poincaré half-plane,  $j: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the modular function, and

$$p: \begin{cases} \mathfrak{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (j(x_1), \dots, j(x_n)). \end{cases}$$

## THEOREM (PILA)

*Let  $V$  be an irreducible closed algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $W \subset p^{-1}(V)$  be a maximal irreducible closed algebraic subset. Then  $W$  is defined by a family of equations of the form*

$$z_i = c_i, c_i \in \mathfrak{H}, \text{ or } z_k = g_{k\ell} z_\ell, g_{k\ell} \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Generalized by Pila-Tsimerman, Peterzil-Starchenko, Klingler-Ullmo-Yafaev to general quotients of bounded symmetric domains by arithmetic subgroups.

Key ingredient in Pila's approach to André-Oort conjecture.

# MUMFORD-SCHOTTKY CURVES

Fix a finite extension  $F$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . A subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$  is a **Schottky** subgroup if it is discrete, torsion free and finitely generated. Such groups are always **free** (Ihara).

One says  $\Gamma$  is **arithmetic** if it is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$ , with  $K$  a number field contained in  $F$ .

The **limit set**  $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma$  is defined as the set of limit points in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ :  $\lim \gamma_n x$ ,  $\gamma_n$  distinct elements of  $\Gamma$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ . It is closed, and perfect as soon as the rank  $g$  of  $\Gamma$  is  $\geq 2$ .

Set  $\Omega_\Gamma = (\mathbb{P}^1)^{an} \setminus \mathcal{L}_\Gamma$ . It is an analytic domain.

## THEOREM (MUMFORD)

*There exists a smooth projective  $F$ -curve  $X_\Gamma$  of genus  $g$  such that*

$$\Omega_\Gamma / \Gamma \simeq (X_\Gamma)^{an}.$$

We fix arithmetic Schottky subgroups  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , each of rank  $\geq 2$ .

Set  $\Omega = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Omega_{\Gamma_i}$  and  $X = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{\Gamma_i}$ . We have an analytic uniformization morphism

$$p: \Omega \rightarrow X^{an}.$$

If  $L$  is an extension of  $F$ , we say  $W \subset \Omega_L = \Omega \otimes L$  is **flat** if it is an irreducible algebraic subset defined by equations of the form

$$z_i = c_i, c_i \in \Omega_{\Gamma_i}(L), \text{ or } z_j = gz_i, g \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(F).$$

If, furthermore the  $g$ 's can be taken such that  $g\Gamma_i g^{-1}$  and  $\Gamma_j$  are commensurable we say  $W$  is **geodesic**.

Fix arithmetic Schottky subgroups  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\text{rk} \geq 2$ ,  $\Omega = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Omega_{\Gamma_i}$ ,  $X = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{\Gamma_i}$ ,  $p: \Omega \rightarrow X^{an}$ .

**THEOREM 1 (CHAMBERT-LOIR - L.)**

*Let  $V$  be an irreducible closed algebraic subset of  $X$ ,  $W$  a maximal irreducible closed algebraic subset of  $p^{-1}(V^{an})$ . Then every irreducible component of  $W_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  is flat.*

A small (equivalent) variant:

**THEOREM 1' (CHAMBERT-LOIR - L.)**

*Let  $V$  be an irreducible closed algebraic subset of  $X$ ,  $W$  a maximal irreducible closed algebraic subset of  $p^{-1}(V^{an})$ . Assume  $W$  is geometrically irreducible. Then  $W$  is flat.*

A bialgebraicity statement:

**THEOREM 2 (CHAMBERT-LOIR - L.)**

*Let  $W$  a closed algebraic subset of  $\Omega$ . Assume  $W$  is geometrically irreducible. Then the following are equivalent:*

- ❶  *$W$  is geodesic ;*
- ❷  *$p(W)$  is closed algebraic ;*
- ❸ *the dimension of the Zariski closure of  $p(W)$  is equal to the dimension of  $W$ .*



A key ingredient in Pila's proof is the

### THEOREM (PILA-WILKIE)

*Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be definable in some o-minimal structure.*

*Set  $X^{tr} = X \setminus \cup \text{semi-algebraic curves in } X$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$N_{X^{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}, T) \leq C_\varepsilon T^\varepsilon.$$

Here  $N_{X^{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}, T)$  denotes the number of rational points in  $X^{tr}$  of height  $\leq T$ .

We will use the following  $p$ -adic version:

### THEOREM (CLUCKERS-COMTE-L.)

*Let  $X \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^N$  be a subanalytic subset.*

*Set  $X^{tr} = X \setminus \cup \text{semi-algebraic curves in } X$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$N_{X^{tr}}(\mathbb{Q}, T) \leq C_\varepsilon T^\varepsilon.$$

Note: it is the use of heights that requires the “arithmeticity” condition.

Our strategy of proof follows that of Pila despite some important differences (Pila: parabolic elements  $\neq$  Us: hyperbolic elements).

Especially helpful is the nice “ping-pong” geometric description of fundamental domains for  $p$ -adic Schottky groups.

Take fundamental domains  $\mathcal{F}_i$  for each  $\Gamma_i$  and set  $\mathcal{F} = \prod \mathcal{F}_i$ .

Set  $m = \dim W$  and consider the set  $R$  of  $g \in \mathrm{PGL}_2^n(F)$  such that

$$g_2 = \cdots = g_m = 1$$

and

$$\dim(gW \cap \mathcal{F} \cap p^{-1}(V^{an})) = m.$$

After some reductions, one may arrange that the number of  $K$ -rational points of  $R$  having height  $\leq T$  is  $\geq \lambda T^c$ , with  $\lambda, c > 0$  ( $R$  “has many  $K$ -rational points”).

One uses that in a free group of rank  $g$ , the number of positive words of length  $\ell$  is  $g^\ell$ .

Now  $R(F)$  is definable thanks to the following easy lemma:

LEMMA

*Let  $F$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  contained in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and let  $V$  be an algebraic variety over  $F$ . Let  $Z$  be a rigid  $F$ -subanalytic subset of  $V(\mathbb{C}_p)$ . Then  $Z(F) = Z \cap V(F)$  is an  $F$ -subanalytic subset of  $V(F)$ .*

Thus, applying the  $p$ -adic Pila-Wilkie theorem [CCL] to  $R(F)$  and using that  $R$  “has many  $K$ -rational points”, one deduces that the stabilizer of  $W$  in  $\Gamma$  is **large**.

This allows to conclude by induction on  $n$ , using the following

### LEMMA

*Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero. Let  $B$  be an integral  $k$ -curve in  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$  having a smooth  $k$ -rational point. Let  $\Gamma$  be the stabilizer of  $B$  in  $(\text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^1)^n$ , with image  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\text{Aut } \mathbb{P}^1$ . Assume that  $\Gamma_1$  contains an element of infinite order. Then, one of the following holds:*

- ❶  $p_{1|B}$  is constant ;
- ❷  $p_{1|B}$  is an isomorphism and the components of its inverse are constant or homographies ;
- ❸ there exists a two-element subset of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$  invariant under every element of  $\Gamma_1$ .

A main ingredient in the proof of the Pila-Wilkie theorem is the existence of Yomdin-Gromov parametrizations, namely

Let  $X \subset [0, 1]^N$  definable in some o-minimal structure, of dimension  $n$ . For any  $r > 0$ , there exists  $g_i : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow X$  definable and  $\mathcal{C}^r$  such that  $X = \cup \text{Im}(g_i)$  and  $\|g_i\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq 1$ .

Over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , CCL prove a similar statement for subanalytic sets  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^N$  with now  $g_i : P_i \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow X$ .

Over  $\mathbb{C}((t))$ , replace  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  by  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ , and the finite family  $g_i$  by a definable family  $g_t$  parametrized by some  $T \subset \mathbb{C}^K$ .

**Main issue** Yomdin-Gromov parametrizations are used via the Taylor formula for the  $g_i$ 's (through the Bombieri-Pila determinant method).

But in the non-archimedean setting, except for  $r = 1$ , we **don't** know whether subanalytic  $\mathcal{C}^r$  (piecewise) satisfy the Taylor formula up to order  $r$ . Fortunately we are actually able to **arrange** the existence of parametrizations satisfying the Taylor formula.

**Application 1:** Pila-Wilkie over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Application 2:** Bounds for rational points over  $\mathbb{C}((t))$ .

A geometric analogue of a result by Bombieri-Pila:

**THEOREM (CLUCKERS-COMTE-L.)**

*Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}((t))}^N$  be closed irreducible algebraic of degree  $d$  and dimension  $n$ . For  $r \geq 1$ , let  $n_r(X)$  be the dimension of the Zariski closure of  $X(\mathbb{C}[[t]]) \cap (\mathbb{C}[t]_{<r})^N$  in  $(\mathbb{C}[t]_{<r})^N \simeq \mathbb{C}^{rN}$ . Then*

$$n_r(X) \leq r(n-1) + \lceil \frac{r}{d} \rceil.$$

We have a **trivial** bound

$$n_r(X) \leq rn,$$

thus our result is meaningful as soon as  $d > 1$ .



Thank you for your attention!