

JAROSLAV NEŠETŘIL CHARLES UNIVERSITY PRAGUE WITH PATRICE OSSONA DE MENDEZ, DAVID EVANS, JAN HUBIČKA



### CONTENTS

- 1. SPARSITY & STABILITY.
- 2. RAMSEY CLASSES FOR MODELS.
  - 3. UNIVERSALITY.

DEF A CLASS & OF FINITE GRAPHS IS SOMEWHERE DENSE IF FOR SOME dEN EVERY GRAPH IS d-SHALLOW MINOR OF A GRAPH IN C. ).

 $\forall G \exists H \in \mathcal{C}(H \succeq G).$ 

H CAN BE OBTAINED FROM A SUBGRAPH OF G BY CONTRACTING SOME SUBGRAPHS WITH RADIUS Ed.



 $N_G^d(x) = \{w\} dist(x,y) \leq d\}$   $V(G) \subseteq N_G^d(x) \iff RADIUS(G) \leq d$ FOR SOME  $x \in V(G)$ 







d=3



4.

Vd: CVd⊊ALL GRAPHS

JN & P.OSSONA DE MENDEZ, SPARSITY, SPRINGER2012.

## EXAMPLES

1 TREES

$$\begin{cases} c_{n}, G_{2}, \dots, G_{n}, \dots \end{cases} \\ G_{i}, with PROPERTIES \\ i \leq \Delta(G_{i}) < GIRTH(G_{i}) \\ i \leq n (G_{i}) \end{cases}$$

## WHY SPARSITY?

"ALMOST" LINEARLY MANY EDGES:  $[E(G)] \leq |V(G)|^{1+o(1)}$ .  $\nabla_d(G) = \max \frac{|E(H)|}{H \in G \nabla d |V(H)|}$ (maximal edge density of A SHALLOW MINOR OF G AT DEPTH d

THM (JN+POM 2008) FOR A CLASS & THE FOLLOWING ARE EQUIVALENT: () & IS NOWHERE DENSE () FOR EVERY d

$$\lim_{G \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{d \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{\log \nabla_d(G)}{\log |V(G)|} = 0$$

#### SAME CLASSIFICATION FOR TOPOLOGICAL MINORS: H IS SHALLOW TOPOLOGICAL MINOR OF A GRAPH G AT DEPTH d IF THERE IS A SUBDIVISION H' OF H WHERE EVERY EDGE OF H IS SUBDIVIDED BY ATMOST 2d VERTICES AND H' IS A SUBGRAPH OF G.



SPARSE-DENSE DICHOTOMY







66

DVOŘA'K, THOMAS, KRAL, GROHE, KREUTZER, GAJARSKÝ, HLINĚNÝ, PILIPCZUK, TORUNCZYK, REIDL, DEMAINE, ROSSMANITH, GAGO, KIERSTED, ZHU, D.YANG, WOOD, DAWAR, ATSERIAS, ROSSMAN, NORIN

### BOUNDED EXPANSION BOUNDED X, Xp, BOUNDED Vp LINEAR ALGORITHMS

VS

### NOWHERE DENSE BOUNDED W, Wp, ALMOST VP

ALMOST LINEAR ALGORITHMS

CEND-BE BOUNDED W UNBOUNDED X "ERDO'S CLASSES"

#### CONNECTION TO MODEL THEORY - STABILITY

A CLASS & OF FINITE GRAPHS IS STABLE IF FOR EVERY FORMULA φ(x,y) THERE EXISTS N(y, e) WHICH BOUNDS ALL HALFGRAPHS REPRESENTED BY φ IN ALL GRAPHS GEC.

TUPLES  $\tilde{a}_{a},...,\tilde{a}_{n}, \tilde{b}_{a},...,\tilde{b}_{n}$ REPRESENT HALF GRAPH IN G IF  $G \models \varphi(\bar{a}_{i},\bar{b}_{j})$  IFF  $\dot{a} \leq j$ .

NOWHERE DENSE = STABLE FOR MONOTONE CLASSES OF GRAPHS.

### COR

FORMULA Ψ(×, y) REPRESENTING ANY FINITE (HALF)GRAPH D

- ③ STABLE + MONOTONE + SOMEWHERE DENSE
- PROOF
  ④ NOWHERE DENSE = SUPERFLAT ⇒ STABLE

 IT IS STABLE.
 IF & IS MONOTONE (= CLOSED ON SUBGRAPHS) AND STABLE THEN IT IS NOWHERE DENSE.

- (THM) () IF C IS NOWHERE DENSE THEN IT IS STABLE.
- PODEWSKI, ZIEGLER (1978) H. ADLER, I. ADLER (2014)

INSTEAD OF COMPACTNESS USES GAIFMAN LOCALITY LEMMA.

PROOF USES SEVERAL (OF 72) CHARACTERISATIONS OF ND



WITH THE FOLLOWING PROPERTIES: - IF G IS A GRAPH WITH  $K_{\pm} \leq G_{g(q)}$ - IF  $\varphi(\bar{x}_{1}\bar{y})$  IS A FORMULA WITH QRANK Q AND WITH d FREE VARIABLES THEN  $\varphi(\bar{x}_{1}\bar{y})$  REPRESENTS IN G ONLY HALF GRAPHS WITH  $\leq f(q,d,t)$ VERTICES.

THM THERE ARE FUNCTIONS  $f:\mathbb{N}^3 \to \mathbb{N}$   $g:\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ WITH THE FOLLOWING PROPERTIES:

FINITE REFINEMENT PILIPCZUK, SIEBERTZ, TORUŃCZYK (2017)

### FUTURE WORK

- MODEL THEORETIC SETTING OF OTHER CHARACTERISATIONS (OF SPARSE - DENSE DICHOTOMY)

- MONOTONE ~> HEREDITARY (EMBEDINGS)

- INTERPRE TATIONS OF BOUNDED EXPANSION CLASSES CHARACTERISED (LICS 18?) (DIDEROT ON FRIDAY)

## RAMSEY THEORY IN ITS MODEL THEORETIC RELEVANCE



STRUCTURAL RAMSEY THEORY"

12.



K A CLASS. OF L-STRUCTURES WITH SUBOBJECTS. FOR A, B EK (B) ALL SUBOBJECTS OF B ISOMORPHIC TO A.

X IS A-RAMSEY IF  
FOR EVERY BEX THERE EXISTS  
CEX SUCH THAT  
$$(-->(B)_2^A)$$

ERDŐS-RADO PARTITION ARROW: FOR EVERY PARTITION  $\binom{C}{A} = 0$ ,  $\bigcup G_2$ THERE EXISTS  $B' \in \binom{C}{B}$  AND  $i_0 \in \{1,2\}$ SUCH THAT  $\binom{B'}{A} \subseteq 0$ .



# K. LEEB PASCAL THEORIE J.N., V. RÖPL W. DEUBER SUBOBJECTS = EMBEDDINGS TOP OF THE LINE OF RAMSEY PROPERTIES CLASSICAL EXAMPLES - LINEAR ORDERS ( ) - FINITE SETS + ⊆ - K = { Kn ; n e N} + SUB GRAPHS - NOT VW BUT "PARAMETER SETS HALES-JEWETT THM. - NOT RADO THM BUT YES FOR A SUITABLE AXIOMATIZATION ((mipic)-SETS

### BASIC BUILDING BLOC







#### RAMSEY FOR FINITE MODELS

IS A RAMSEY CLASS.

$$\mathbf{A} = (A, (R_{\mathbf{A}}; R \in L), (f_{\mathbf{A}}; f \in L), \leq_{\mathbf{A}})$$
  
$$\mathbf{B} = (B, (R_{\mathbf{B}}; R \in L), (f_{\mathbf{B}}; f \in L), \leq_{\mathbf{B}})$$

F:  $A \rightarrow B$  is an EMBEDDING  $A \rightarrow B$ IF IT SATISFIES: - INJECTINE - MONOTONE V.R.T.  $\leq_{A}, \leq_{B}$ - PRESERVES ALL  $R_{A}$ -  $F(f_{A}(x_{A}, \dots, x_{p})) =$  $f_{B}(F(x_{A}), \dots, F(x_{p}))$ 

"EMBEDDINGS PRESERVE CLOSURES"

DEFINE 
$$f: \begin{pmatrix} X \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X \\ p \end{pmatrix}$$
  
 $f(x,y) = B_{xy}$ .

## PROOF (OUTLINE)

COR

#### THE CLASS OF ORDERED STEINER SYSTEMS IS RAMSEY.

## TOWARDS CHARACTERISATION OF RAMSEY CLASSES AND/OR

HIDDEN SYMMETRIES OF RAMSEY CLASSES

PARADOX : RAMSEY CLASS NEEDS AND IMPLIES RIGIDITY

PIX

BUT ON THE OTHER SIDE RANSEY CLASSES COME FROM HIGHLY SYMMETRIC SITUATION.





- EVERY HEREDITARY RAMSEY CLASS WITH JOINT EMBEDDING PROPERTY IS AN AMALGAMATION CLASS.

- FRAÏSSÉ LIMIT IS ULTRAHOMOGENEOUS

JL

CHARACTERISATION OF ULTRAHOMOGENEOUS

CHARACTERISATION OF RAMSEY CLASSES

(TRUE IN ALL CASES WITH KNOWN CHARACTERISATION OF ULTRAHOMOGENEOUS STRUCTURES)

GRAPHS, PARTIAL ORDERS, TOURNAMENTS, ... WORK IN PROGRESS



IN GENERAL, FOR W- CATEGORICAL EXPANSIONS ONE CANNOT COMPLETE SCHEMA (EVAN'S LECTURE) THM (EVANS, HUBIČKA, N. 2017)

L LANGUAGE WITH RELATIONS AND PARTIAL FUNCTIONS. LET X BE A FREE AMALGAMATION CLASS. THEN THE CLASS X OF ALL ORDERED STRUCTURES FROM X IS A RAMSEY CLASS.

THM (HUBIČKA, N. 2016) LET L BE A FINITE LANGUAGE CONTAINING R<sup>S</sup>, LET & BE A SET OF FINITE CONNECTED L-STRUCTURES, THEN THE FOLLOWING ARE EQUIVALENT:

- FORB<sub>L</sub> (F) HAS PRECOMPACT RAMSEY EXPANSION WITH EXPANSION PROPERTY.
- (2) FORB (5) HAS W-CATEGORICAL UNIVERSAL STRUCTURE.
- (3) THERE EXISTS REGULAR FAMILY 5' SUCH THAT FORBL (5)=Ford (5').



X A CLASS OF COUNTABLE STRUCTURES. UE X IS UNIVERSAL IF EVERY AEX EMBEDDS TO U.

RADO, HENSON, KOMJATH, PACH, MEKLER, CHERLIN, SHELAH, SHI,

EXISTENCE OF UNIVERSAL OBJECT IS THE TEST FOR RAMSEY CLASS

-w

WHEN A CLASS & HAS A FINITE HOM-UNIVERSAL OBJECT U?

FOR FORB (F), F FINITE IFF F A FINITE SET OF TREES (N., TARDIF)





OPEN

96

# HOMOMORPHISM ORDER OF STRUCTURES INTERESTING A & B IFF A -> B 3 HOMOMORPHISM $(\mathcal{C}, \leq)$ ALL COUNTABLE STRUCTURES PROBLEM (N., SHELAH) LET G, G2 BE MAXIMAL IN(P,S) (I.E. G = G2 AND THERE IS NO G INCOMPARABLE WITH BOTH G. AND G2.) IS IT TRUE THAT THEN EITHER G, OR G, 15 (HOMOMORPHISM) EQUIVALENT TO A FINITE GRAPH?



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION